### Anuradhapura Integrated Urban Development - 2020

\_\_\_\_\_

The audit of financial statements of the Anuradhapura Integrated Urban Development Project (AIUDP) for the year ended 31 December 2020 was carried out under my direction in pursuance of provisions in Article 154(1) of the Constitution of the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka read in conjunction with section 3.4.3 of the Credit Facility Agreement No. CLK – 100901 R dated 01 December 2016 and amended section of 3.4.4 of the Credit Facility Agreement dated 31 August 2017 entered into between the Democratic Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka and the French Agency for Development. This report consists of the matters observed during the course of audit and submitted for the consideration of the Executing and Implementing Agencies of the Project.

### **1.2** Implementation, Objectives, Funding and Duration of the Project

### -----

According to the Credit Facility Agreement of the Project the Ministry of Urban Development and Housing is the Executing Agency and Anuradhapura Integrated Urban Development Project (AIUDP) is the Implementing Agency of the Project. The objective of the Project is to promote a balanced mode of co – development between the sacred and modern part of Anuradhapura City, with the objective of preserving its cultural and natural heritage, key to the site's attractiveness. As per the Credit Agreement, the estimated total cost of the Project was Euro 62.4 million equivalent to Rs 9,779.95 million and out of that Euro 52.00 million equivalent to Rs 8,149.96 million was agreed to be financed by the French Agency for Development. The Project had commenced its activities on 01 December 2016 and scheduled to be completed by 31 December 2021.

### 1.3 Opinion

### -----

In my opinion, the accompanying financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Project as at 31 December 2020 and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with Sri Lanka Accounting Standards.

### **1.4 Basis for Opinion**

### ------

I conducted my audit in accordance with Sri Lanka Auditing Standards (SLAuSs). My responsibilities, under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of my report. I believe that the audit evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my opinion.

# 1.5 Responsibilities of management and those charged with governance for the Financial Statements

\_\_\_\_\_

Management is responsible for the preparation of financial statements that give a true and fair view in accordance with Sri Lanka Accounting Standards and for such internal control as management determine is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Those charged with governance are responsible for overseeing the Project's financial reporting process.

The Project is required to maintain proper books and records of all its income, expenditure, assets and liabilities, to enable annual and periodic financial statements to be prepared by the project.

### **1.6** Auditor's Responsibilities for the audit of the Financial Statements

My objective is to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes my opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with Sri Lanka Auditing Standards will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

As part of an audit in accordance with Sri Lanka Auditing Standards, I exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit. I also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control of the Project.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by the management.
- Evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.

I communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that I identify during my audit.

## 2. Physical Performance

-----

## 2.1 Physical progress of the activities of the Project

The Urban infrastructure development activities of the Participatory City Region of Anuradhapura are scheduled to be implemented by the Project and the following observation are made thereon.

Component	Activity	Audit Issue	Reason for Delays	Response of the Management	Auditor's Recommendation
(a) Identification of Sustainable Urban Infrastructure in Anuradhapur a City.	Consultancy (I) service of Details Design Study	On order to obtain the Detailed design study consultancy services, for Rs 212.66 million (Euro 1.18 million) the project had entered in to an agreement with a consultancy firm, SCE, Grouphit - Green Tech Consultants join venture, on 29 August 2017. According to the agreement, the firm should submit the consultancy report on 12 October of the year 2018. However, the report had not been submitted even up to end of the year under review and the project had extended the contract period up to 31 March 2020. Nevertheless, some deliverables had not been completed up to May 2021 and Extention of Time had not been granted form April 2020. In such a	Poor performance of the consultant and lack of supervision	appointed in	Guidelines of the consultancy agreement should be followed to recover the Liquidated Damages.

background the payment made amounting to Rs.42.11 million to the consultancy company during the year under review was a problematic issue in audit. Although the project had paid a sum of Rs.100.32 million to the consultancy firm, up to end of the year under review, any action had not taken been to recover the liquidate damages of Rs.50.24 for non submission of reports. It had observed in Poor Agreed. Sound audit that 6 efficient performance additional consultancy experts of the and 2 key experts consultant supervision system had not fulfilled the and lack of should implemented

required supervision qualifications stipulated in service agreement for consulting service for Detail Design (III) Due to d over 6 mon submit 11 reports relat the Γ Engineering I

(II)

Tor Detail Design.					
Due to delaying	Poor	Agreed	Sound and		
over 6 months to	performance		efficient contract		
submit 11 review	of the		management		
reports relating to	consultant		system should be		
the Detailed	and lack of		implemented in		
Engineering Designs	supervision		order to achieve		
- Urban Sector in			the project		
Grading Component			objectives through		
- (Architecture and			completing project		

and

be

in

order or achieve

project objectives

activities.

was

Structural) it

unable to utilize Rs 75 million that allocated for the projects during the year under review. Not recruiting of project expert management support staff up to May 2020 and due to the Expertise Gap of the project staff, were mainly attributed for the delays of the report review.

### 2.2 Contract Administration

### Audit Issue

### -----

- (a) The contract for development of the public square at the Anuradhapura railway station and development of Anuradhapura "Singha Kanuwa" round about at an estimated cost of Rs.122.62 million, scheduled to be completed by Julv 2021. However, the total expenditure incurred up to end of the year under review amounted to Rs.17.99 million and the physical progress as at that date was as low as 4 per cent. dismatching of BOQ and the Drawings were the main reasons for The Road Development delay. Authority (RDA) had informed to the project to change the prior designs prepared to "Singha Kanuwa" area due to lack of proper coordination between the project and RDA.
- (b) Four Sub – Project the estimated cost of Rs.336.25 million had been awarded and those sub-projects scheduled to be completed in July of the year 2021. However, the progress of the 3 sub projects was at as low as 10 to 30 per cent. The difference shown between the Drawing and the BOQ were the main reasons for the delays. Thus, reviewing of base documents prepared by the consultants and granting the approvals by the project for the consultant's report were problamic issue in audit. As a result of those differences. the contractors had produced variances report to the project by requesting additional costs.

#### **Response of the Management**

-----

Agreed on the comment, this design change has affected to the project progress.

## Auditor's Recommendation

Sound and efficient contract management system should be implemented in order to achieve the Project objectives through completing Project activities.

Agreed with the comment

The Bills of Quantities should be prepared after evaluating the drawings and the detailed plans.

### 2.3 <u>Idle and Underutilized Resources</u>

Audit Issue	Response of the Management	Auditor's Recommendation
According to the information received, only a sum of Euro 1.84 million equivalent to Rs.308.84 million representing 3 per cent of the total allocation of Euro 52 million equivalent to Rs. 8,354.32 million made by the Lending Agency had been utilized as at 31 December 2020, after lapse of 4 years from the commencement of activities of the Project. It was further observed that a sum of Euro 1.72 million equivalent to Rs.204.25 million had been obtained by the Project in the year 2018 and non of an advance had been obtained during the year under review. Further, the advance amounting to Euro 0.17 million equivalent to Rs. 26.25 million obtained in the year 2018 had been remained in the bank account		Sound and efficient project management system should be implemented in order to utilize the funds effective and economical manner.

### 2.4 Matters in Contentious Nature

advance on 14 November 2018 to rent out the house. However, actions had not been taken to rented out the said house and the paid advance had not recovered up to

without being utilized.

Audit Issue		<b>Response of the Management</b>	Auditor's Recommendation	
(a)	A house had been rented after being called quotation to use as resident of the Anuradhapura sub – project's Additional Project Director. A sum of Rs.100,000 had been paid as an	house owner to return the advance payment, but he has not	recover the advance	

April 2021. Further to rent a house under monthly rent of Rs.70,000 for the Additional Project Director, an agreement had been signed and an advance of Rs. 210,000 had been After that a sum paid. of Rs.520,333 had been paid as rental for the period from 08 October 2018 to 13 June 2019. However, as pointed out by the Department of Management services, as per the circular No. 1/2016, the house had been handed over to the owner on 13 May 2020. Nevertheless, the advance receivable amounting to Rs.109,670 had not been recovered up to April 2021.

- (b) Even though the project had been commenced 4 years ago, only a sum of Rs.96 million had been incurred for the development activities that come under the Project. However, the project management cost incurred over the last 4 years amounted to Rs 183.98 million or over 50 per cent of the development cost. Thus, productivity and effectiveness of the project is problemic issue in audit.
- (c) The proposal furnished by the consultancy firm to construct the Pola building and multi Storied car park at an estimated cost of Rs. 877 million had been abounded due to the possible inconveniences to the General Public at the construction stage and payable issues of compensation. Hence, it was observed in audit that the project had identified the activities without being conducted proper evaluation

Agreed However, it a detail design consultancy has been delayed due to poor performance of selected consultant The Project funds should be used for the fulfillment of the project objectives as per the financial plans.

Last moment, the AFD refused to fund for Pola and Mult-Storied carparks indicating that as the Pola development is market infrastructure development, which was not within the loan scope

Proper evaluation should be made considering all factors effect to project activities before the planning.

	Cadre Posi	tion	No of posts		No of Vacancies	Response of the Management	Recommendation
			 Approval	Actual as at 31 December 2020			
(a)	Urban specialist	heritage	01		01	Comment had not been given	Vacancies should be filled according to the requirement
(b)	Assistant Director	Project	03	-	03		
(c)	Additional Director	Project	01	-	01		
(d)	Others		22	18	06		

## 2.5 <u>Issue Related to Human Resources Management</u>

## 2.6 <u>Budgetary Control</u>

Audit Issue	Response of the Management	Auditor's recommendations
*	The savings of the budgeted allocation had been occurred due to delay in the consultancy	0